1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney		
2	Mark J. McKeon Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721		
3			
4	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
5	1 desimile. (333) 137 1033		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	omica states of rimerica		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:21-CR-00226-NONE-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	ORDER ORDER	
14	RAMIRO CERVANTES, DATE: June 29, 2022 TIME: 1:00 p.m.		
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 29, 2022.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
22	September 21, 2022, and to exclude time between June 29, 2022, and September 21, 2022, under the		
23	Speedy Trial Act.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes investigative reports, photographs, body camera recordings, and recorded jail phone		
27	calls. All of this discovery was provided directly to prior defense counsel and/or made available		
28	for inspection and copying. The government is in the process of providing this discovery to the		

6

1011

13

12

15

14

1617

18

\\\

///

\\\

19

20

21 \\\

22 \| \\\

23 \\\\

24 \\\\

25 \\\

26

27 \\\\

28 1

undersigned defense counsel, who was recently appointed by the court.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time conduct investigation into the charges, review discovery, and consult with his client and the government regarding potential resolution.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 29, 2022 to September 21, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 1:21-cr-00226-JLT-SKO Document 29 Filed 06/22/22 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	D 4 1 1 15 2022		
7	Dated: June 15, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8		/ /NA 1 T NA IZ	
9		/s/ Mark J. McKeon Mark J. McKeon	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11	D 4 1 1 15 2022	/ /T' 4 II	
12	Dated: June 15, 2022	/s/ Timothy Hennessy Timothy Hennessy	
13		Counsel for Defendant RAMIRO CERVANTES	
14			
15			
16	ORDER		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18			
19	6/22/2022	Sheila K. Oberto	
20	DATED: 6/22/2022	THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO	
21		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			